



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,151	03/02/2004	Arjuna Indracswaran Rajasingham		3034
7590 A. I. RAJASINGHAM 6024 BRADLEY BLVD. BETHESDA, MD 20817				
09/01/2009				
EXAMINER				
MCPhillip, Adrian J				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3623				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/01/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/790,151

Applicant(s)RAJASINGHAM, ARJUNA
INDRAESWARAN**Examiner**

Adrian J. McPhillip

Art Unit

3623

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Adrian J. McPhillip.(3) Arjuna Rajasingham.(2) Beth Boswell.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 10 August 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: All pending claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: Prior art was not discussed.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant was advised to incorporate features described in the drawings into the written description of the specification while not adding any new matter to better illustrate how the claimed method steps relate to the figures. Applicant was also advised to include some structure in the next set of claim recitations to try to overcome the 101 rejections.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Beth V. Boswell/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3623